

Social Revolutions II: Re-explaining Revolution?

Section 9

Sima Biondi

Fall 2024

Gov 20: Foundations of Comparative Politics

Overview

- 1 Reminders
- 2 Mid-term survey
- 3 Mini writing workshop
- 4 Discussion: revolutionary bingo
- 5 Discussion: explaining revolution

- 1 Reminders
- 2 Mid-term survey
- 3 Mini writing workshop
- 4 Discussion: revolutionary bingo
- 5 Discussion: explaining revolution

Reminders

1. To follow up on the midterm survey: seems like the feedback form is closed - so we'll take the survey in section

Reminders

1. To follow up on the midterm survey: seems like the feedback form is closed - so we'll take the survey in section
2. Midterms have been returned; come meet with me if you have any questions

Reminders

1. To follow up on the midterm survey: seems like the feedback form is closed - so we'll take the survey in section
2. Midterms have been returned; come meet with me if you have any questions
3. For next week, focus on these readings:

Reminders

1. To follow up on the midterm survey: seems like the feedback form is closed - so we'll take the survey in section
2. Midterms have been returned; come meet with me if you have any questions
3. For next week, focus on these readings:
 - Varshney

Reminders

1. To follow up on the midterm survey: seems like the feedback form is closed - so we'll take the survey in section
2. Midterms have been returned; come meet with me if you have any questions
3. For next week, focus on these readings:
 - Varshney
 - Geertz

Reminders

1. To follow up on the midterm survey: seems like the feedback form is closed - so we'll take the survey in section
2. Midterms have been returned; come meet with me if you have any questions
3. For next week, focus on these readings:
 - Varshney
 - Geertz
 - Nagel

Reminders

1. To follow up on the midterm survey: seems like the feedback form is closed - so we'll take the survey in section
2. Midterms have been returned; come meet with me if you have any questions
3. For next week, focus on these readings:
 - Varshney
 - Geertz
 - Nagel
 - Bates

Reminders

1. To follow up on the midterm survey: seems like the feedback form is closed - so we'll take the survey in section
2. Midterms have been returned; come meet with me if you have any questions
3. For next week, focus on these readings:
 - Varshney
 - Geertz
 - Nagel
 - Bates
 - Posner

Reminders

1. To follow up on the midterm survey: seems like the feedback form is closed - so we'll take the survey in section
2. Midterms have been returned; come meet with me if you have any questions
3. For next week, focus on these readings:
 - Varshney
 - Geertz
 - Nagel
 - Bates
 - Posner
 - (if time allows) Jardina and Mamdani

Last week: we talked (structural) drivers of revolution.

In this section, we're talking about **non-structural** drivers of revolution, in particular:

1. Other than economics, what explains revolution?
2. How do you (not) get to revolution?

Overview

- 1 Reminders
- 2 Mid-term survey
- 3 Mini writing workshop
- 4 Discussion: revolutionary bingo
- 5 Discussion: explaining revolution

Let's take 5 minutes to take this survey:

<https://forms.gle/5j2AG88FPhJyX4ca9>

- 1 Reminders
- 2 Mid-term survey
- 3 Mini writing workshop**
- 4 Discussion: revolutionary bingo
- 5 Discussion: explaining revolution

Paragraphs: how would you split this?

We can find an example of such a successful industrialization through shifting the economic structure from ISI to EOI in the development of South Korea after the end of the Korean War in 1953. While the state was born out of this military conflict, the South Korean leader Park Chung-Hee soon strengthened the country's autonomy through land reforms and launched a large anti-corruption drive to establish the foundation for a well-working internal economy. His authoritarian governmental planning through various five-year as well as six-year plans (Johnson 142) then pushed the South Korean economy to becoming almost fully self-sufficient and thus followed the structure of an Import-Substituting Industrialization. However, Chung-Hee simultaneously understood the very limited opportunity for his country to industrialize given the international circumstances while only relying on ISI as an economic structure. He consequently soon realized the necessity of his country to selectively open up to foreign investments which turned out to be the crucial step for South Korea in eventually becoming the modernized, industrialized country as we know it today (Levitsky, lecture 6). Therefore, the example of South Korea helps us understand that a shift from ISI to EOI forms a necessary condition for late developing countries to industrialize effectively.

Paragraphs: how would you split this?

We can find an example of such a successful industrialization through shifting the economic structure from ISI to EOI in the development of South Korea after the end of the Korean War in 1953. While the state was born out of this military conflict, the South Korean leader Park Chung-Hee soon strengthened the country's autonomy through land reforms and launched a large anti-corruption drive to establish the foundation for a well-working internal economy. His authoritarian governmental planning through various five-year as well as six-year plans (Johnson 142) then pushed the South Korean economy to becoming almost fully self-sufficient and thus followed the structure of an Import-Substituting Industrialization.

However, Chung-Hee simultaneously understood the very limited opportunity for his country to industrialize given the international circumstances while only relying on ISI as an economic structure. He consequently soon realized the necessity of his country to selectively open up to foreign investments which turned out to be the crucial step for South Korea in eventually becoming the modernized, industrialized country as we know it today (Levitsky, lecture 6). Therefore, the example of South Korea helps us understand that a shift from ISI to EOI forms a necessary condition for late developing countries to industrialize effectively.

Paragraphs: how would you split this?

We can find an example of such a successful industrialization through shifting the economic structure from ISI to EOI in the development of South Korea after the end of the Korean War in 1953. While the state was born out of this military conflict, the South Korean leader Park Chung-Hee soon strengthened the country's autonomy through land reforms and launched a large anti-corruption drive to establish the foundation for a well-working internal economy. His authoritarian governmental planning through various five-year as well as six-year plans (Johnson 142) then pushed the South Korean economy to becoming almost fully self-sufficient and thus followed the structure of an Import-Substituting Industrialization.

However, Chung-Hee simultaneously understood the very limited opportunity for his country to industrialize given the international circumstances while only relying on ISI as an economic structure. He consequently soon realized the necessity of his country to selectively open up to foreign investments which turned out to be the crucial step for South Korea in eventually becoming the modernized, industrialized country as we know it today (Levitsky, lecture 6). Therefore, the example of South Korea helps us understand that a shift from ISI to EOI forms a necessary condition for late developing countries to industrialize effectively.

Try **this** (more difficult) example at home: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1c3A8VlmUzllXd2XiII3J_S_JY9709rP7HdbhJUo_TIw/edit?usp=sharing

Overview

- 1 Reminders
- 2 Mid-term survey
- 3 Mini writing workshop
- 4 Discussion: revolutionary bingo**
- 5 Discussion: explaining revolution

[https://docs.google.com/document/d/
1wnKQpwXg7hY8uBqD9yjK7u5nabyoz-G58VbUDsQaBVc/
edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1wnKQpwXg7hY8uBqD9yjK7u5nabyoz-G58VbUDsQaBVc/edit?usp=sharing)

Overview

- 1 Reminders
- 2 Mid-term survey
- 3 Mini writing workshop
- 4 Discussion: revolutionary bingo
- 5 Discussion: explaining revolution**

What are the future prospects for revolution? In what countries do they seem likely to occur, and why?

Last week: we talked (structural) drivers of revolution.

In this section, we're talking about **non-structural** drivers of revolution, in particular:

1. Other than economics, what explains revolution?
2. How do you (not) get to revolution?

For next week, focus on these readings:

- Varshney
- Geertz
- Nagel
- Bates
- Posner
- (if time allows) Jardina and Mamdani